



This document has been provided by the International Center for Not-for-Profit Law (ICNL).

ICNL is the leading source for information on the legal environment for civil society and public participation. Since 1992, ICNL has served as a resource to civil society leaders, government officials, and the donor community in over 90 countries.

Visit ICNL's **Online Library** at
<http://www.icnl.org/knowledge/library/index.php>
for further resources and research from countries all over the world.

Disclaimers

Content. The information provided herein is for general informational and educational purposes only. It is not intended and should not be construed to constitute legal advice. The information contained herein may not be applicable in all situations and may not, after the date of its presentation, even reflect the most current authority. Nothing contained herein should be relied or acted upon without the benefit of legal advice based upon the particular facts and circumstances presented, and nothing herein should be construed otherwise.

Translations. Translations by ICNL of any materials into other languages are intended solely as a convenience. Translation accuracy is not guaranteed nor implied. If any questions arise related to the accuracy of a translation, please refer to the original language official version of the document. Any discrepancies or differences created in the translation are not binding and have no legal effect for compliance or enforcement purposes.

Warranty and Limitation of Liability. Although ICNL uses reasonable efforts to include accurate and up-to-date information herein, ICNL makes no warranties or representations of any kind as to its accuracy, currency or completeness. You agree that access to and use of this document and the content thereof is at your own risk. ICNL disclaims all warranties of any kind, express or implied. Neither ICNL nor any party involved in creating, producing or delivering this document shall be liable for any damages whatsoever arising out of access to, use of or inability to use this document, or any errors or omissions in the content thereof.

SHOULD THE RULES GOVERNING FOUNDATIONS BE PLACED IN A CIVIL CODE?

By Professor Ulrich Drobnig
Max Planck Institut
Hamburg, Germany

The question of whether legal provisions governing foundations (and also associations) should be placed in a Civil Code, or in one or more separate instruments, is not only an issue of legislative drafting. This question does not arise, of course, in those countries which do not have a Civil Code; for example, England, Wales, Scotland, Ireland, Cyprus and Scandinavia. But for the Continental countries with civil codes, this issue is one that has led to divisive results.

The civil codes enacted in the 19th century, for example in France (likewise in Belgium, Luxembourg, Spain, and Austria), do not contain provisions on foundations. The basis for this phenomenon is ideological: after the French Revolution, the state was hostile against any intermediary powers that might interfere with the direct relationship between state and society. The same was true for the civil codes in the Communist/Socialist states of Eastern Europe. In both groups of countries (except Hungary), foundations are regulated by special legislation outside the civil codes.

In contrast, the civil codes enacted in the 20th century recognized the need for civil society by inserting rules on foundations (and associations) into the civil codes. They were usually placed at the beginning of the codes, following the provisions on natural persons. The lead was taken by the German Civil Code of 1900, followed by the Swiss Code of 1907, the Greek, the Italian and the Portuguese Codes of 1946, 1942, and 1967, respectively, and finally, the Dutch Code (Book Two on Legal Entities, 1976).

Today, civil society, which can be rendered by devoting assets to a publicly useful purpose, is becoming more widely recognized and publicly supported. The civil codes as the most basic instruments containing the general legal rules for relations between citizens are therefore the most suitable and appropriate frameworks for dealing with foundations (and associations).